



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1471/2012, I.A.5266/2016**

VINITA SHARMA & ORS

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Mrinalini Sen and Mr. Shantanu
Lakhotia, Advocates for plaintiff
No.1.

versus

SANJAY SHARMA & ORS

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Deep Dhamija and Ms. Jasmine
Sahni, Advocates for defendant No.1,
2 and 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

03.11.2022

1. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that according to her information defendant No.6 died has no legal heirs. It is submitted that she was not in touch with defendant No.6 and defendant No.5 and 6 have been impleaded on the application of the defendants.
2. It is submitted by learned counsel for defendant No.1, 2 and 4 that defendant No.6 was a real paternal uncle of the plaintiff and he was a party before the Mediation Centre, though before the Mediation Settlement could be signed as he died and plaintiff No.1 created confusion and refused to sign the Settlement.
3. No application has been filed for substitution of legal heirs of



defendant No.6 viz-a-viz whom the suit stands abated.

4. Learned counsel for plaintiff No.1 seeks short adjournment.
5. Plaintiff No.2 present in the Court seeks adjournment as main counsel is stated to be busy today.
6. The application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC filed on behalf of plaintiff No.2 cannot be considered till all the parties are before this Court.
7. List this matter for further proceedings before the learned Joint Registrar for 14th March, 2023.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2022

va