



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 949/2023, I.A. 6433/2024 & I.A. 9484/2024**

LIVING MEDIA INDIA LIMITED & ANR. Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Rahul Beruar, Ms. Nidhi Jain,
Ms. Jyotsana Sinha, Mr. Deepesh
Bharadwaj, Ms. Akanksha Satpathy
and Ms. Manini Sidhu, Advocates.

versus

JAY JAYESHBHAI TANK & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Rahul Bajaj, Advocate for D-2.
Ms. Mamta Jha, Ms. Shruttima
Ehera and Ms. Diya Viswanath,
Advocates for D-4.
Mr. Varun Pathak, Ms. Amee Rana,
Mr. Yash Karunakaran and Ms. Sana
Banyal, Advocates for D-5.
Mr. Deepak Gogia and Mr. Aadhar
Nautiyal, Advocates for D-6.
Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Kushagra Kumar, Advocate
for D-7.
Ms. Anushka Sharda, Mr. Madhav
Khosla and Ms. Smriti Nair,
Advocates for D-9.
Mr. Sandeep Das, Ms. Anandini
Kumari and Ms. Anurima Sood,
Advocates for D-11.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

%

ORDER
06.05.2024



I.A. 26292/2023 (under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of CPC)

1. Pursuant to previous order dated 12th January, 2024, an *ad interim* injunction was passed in favour of plaintiffs.
2. It is stated by counsel for plaintiffs that defendant no.1 has already complied with the said order.
3. No counsel appears on behalf of defendant no.1, nor does defendant no.1 in person. Be that as it may, considering that defendant no.1 itself has complied with directions passed in Para 13 of the said order, the default directions which were given in Para 14 of the order were not necessary. In this regard, the role of defendant nos. 2 and 3 (*Domain Name Registrars*) may not be necessary and, therefore, they shall be deleted from the array of parties. As regards defendants nos. 4-6 and 8-14, which are various platforms, their role may also not be necessary considering there has been deactivation by defendant no.1 itself of the social media handles. Defendant nos. 6 and 8 have also filed a written statement in this regard.
4. Accordingly, as per the directions above, only defendant no.1 (the contesting defendant) and defendant no.7 will be arrayed as parties.
5. Mr. Bhardwaj, appearing on behalf of defendant no.7 (*Office of Registrar of Newspapers for India*) states that he shall seek instructions in relation to the relief sought under Para 113 (g) by plaintiff in relation to the RNI Registration of defendant no.1 of a newspaper, under the impugned mark 'AAJTAK WATCH'. In any event, it is directed, that defendant no.7 shall cancel defendant no.1's RNI Registration bearing No. GUJGUJ/201560871 under impugned mark 'AAJTAK WATCH'/
‘आजतक वाच’



6. Plaintiff shall file an amended memo of parties in this regard, within a period of 8 weeks.
7. In these circumstances, injunction passed by this Court on 12th January, 2024, is made absolute.
8. This application is accordingly, disposed of, with the above directions.

CS(COMM) 949/2023

9. Re-notify on 07th October, 2024.
10. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 6, 2024/MR/na