



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **LA.APP. 247/2014**
KARAN SINGH & ORS

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Prins Kumar & Mr. Brijesh
Chaudary, Advocates (thr. vc)

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Joohu Kumari, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate
for R-1/UOI and R-2/LAC
Mr. Pranay Lakhanpal and
Ms. Mrinaliini Sen, Advocates
for DDA (through vc)

CORAM: MS. PANNA DUTTA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **27.03.2026**

**CM APPL.19520/2026 (u/O XXII Rule, CPC moved by the
LRs of appellant No.4(i)-Shakuntla for their substitution) &
CM APPL. 19521/2026 (delay of 254 days)**

1. Learned counsel appear and accept notice on behalf of respondent no.1-UOI, respondent no.2/LAC and respondent no.3/DDA.
2. Let complete set of applications be supplied to learned counsel for the respondents within two weeks.
3. Reply be filed by the respondents within five weeks.
4. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within five weeks thereafter.



5. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that LRs of deceased appellant no.4(i)/Mrs. Shakuntala are already parties in the appeal.
6. It is observed from the records, that amended memo of parties appended with the applications mentions many LRs of appellants/respondents as compared to the only Memo of Parties filed alongwith the present Appeal. Let learned counsel for the appellant file chart detailing the application numbers, name of LRs impleaded, date of Order, etc. before the next date.
7. Re-notify on 02.09.2026.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 27, 2026

vk