



\$~63

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3169/2025**

**RANA PRATAP SINGH**

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tanya Agarwal and Mr. Krishna  
Kumar Keshav, Advocate.

versus

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Aniket Kumar Singh, Advocate  
with Mr. Sangeet Sibou Mr. Priyansh  
Raj Singh Senger, Advocates for Mr.  
Rahul Tyagi, ASC(CrL.)  
Mr. Ishkaran Singh Bhandari, CGSC  
with Mr. Piyush Yadav, Advocate for  
R-2.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI**

**ORDER**

%

**23.02.2026**

**CRL.M.A. 5593/2026**

By way of the present application filed under section 440 read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks modification of order dated 06.02.2026, praying that the condition imposed upon him for furnishing one surety in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- from a family member, be deleted as a pre-condition for availing parole.

2. Further to what was recorded in order dated 18.02.2026, Ms. Tanya Agarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that she has taken



instructions from the petitioner, who says that though he has certain savings from his earnings in prison, his aged parents, who reside in Delhi, are both seriously unwell, with the father being bed ridden; and they do not have the financial capacity to furnish solvent surety.

3. Ms. Agarwal submits, that in fact, the parents are not even in a position to visit a lawyer or the court to sign a surety bond.
4. Ms. Agarwal submits, that for the aforesaid reasons, the petitioner has still not been able to avail his liberty despite having been granted parole *vidé* order dated 06.02.2026.
5. After briefly hearing Ms. Agarwal, as well as learned CGSC appearing for respondent No.2 (Director General of BSF), and learned counsel appearing on behalf of learned ASC for the State, and in *modification* of order dated 06.02.2026, the present application is disposed-of, with the following directions:

5.1. It is directed that alongwith the personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/-, the petitioner shall furnish to the Jail Superintendent a fixed deposit receipt in the sum of Rs.10,000/-, prepared in his own name from his earnings in prison drawn on the bank located within the prison *alongwith* a surety bond to be executed by *any one of his parents* towards satisfaction of condition imposed *vidé* para 15.1 of that order.

6. Furthermore, considering the difficulty expressed by Ms. Agarwal, the Investigating Officer is directed to visit the residence of the petitioner's parents, where the petitioner would also reside while on parole, and get the requisite surety bond executed from either of his parents at their residence.



7. It is made clear that the present relief is being granted in the unusual circumstances obtaining in the matter; and may not be taken to be a substitute for requiring the execution of surety bonds in the usual course.
8. No other modification is being made to order dated 06.02.2026.
9. The application is disposed-of in the above terms.
10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent *forthwith*.

**W.P.(CRL) 3169/2025**

11. The petition already stood disposed-of *vidé* order dated 06.02.2026.

**ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J**

**FEBRUARY 23, 2026/ak**