



2026:DHC:3688-DB



2026:DHC:3688-DB

\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 14978/2025, CM APPL. 61572/2025 & 61575/2025

VIDYA AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Dr. Monika Arora, CGSC), Mr.
Subhrdeep Saha, Ms. Anamika Thakur, Mr.
Abhinav Verma, Adv.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat SC
GNCTD, Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

67

+ W.P.(C) 19538/2025, CM APPL. 81501/2025 & 81503/2025

NAUSHAD KHAN AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Dr. Monika Arora, CGSC), Mr.
Subhrdeep Saha, Ms. Anamika Thakur, Mr.
Abhinav Verma, Adv.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat SC
GNCTD, Mr Nitesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER (ORAL)

%

29.04.2026

C. HARI SHANKAR J.

1. Learned Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the judgment of the Tribunal dated 18 September 2024, under challenge in these



2026:DHC:3688-DB



2026:DHC:3688-DB

writ petitions already stands quashed by this Court vide its judgment dated 10 November 2025 in ***Pawan Sharma v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi***¹.

2. However, Mr. Singh submits that ***Pawan Sharma***'s judgment is presently under challenge in the Supreme Court, though the SLP is yet to be listed.

3. Nonetheless, as the matter is covered by the decision in ***Pawan Sharma*** and more particularly, since the impugned judgement already stands set aside in ***Pawan Sharma***'s case, we cannot pass any other order in this matter.

4. Accordingly, the petitioners in these petitions would also be entitled to the benefit of the judgment passed by us in ***Pawan Sharma***.

5. These writ petitions are allowed in the aforesaid terms.

C. SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

APRIL 29, 2026/AT

¹2025 SCC OnLine Del 8313