



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14935/2024 & CM APPL. 62645/2024**

VINEET TANEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

AIRFORCE BAL BHARATI SCHOOL & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr.Nikhil Palli and Ms.Niyati
Razdan, Advocates for R-1.
Mr.M.A. Niyazi, Ms.Anamika,
Ms.Kirti, Ms.Nehmat and
Mr.Arquam, Advocates for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **12.11.2024**

1. Heard, petitioner who is present in person.
2. The petitioner contends that the communication dated 05.08.2024 issued by respondent no.1-School regarding removal of petitioner's name in the school records of his daughter is illegal and improper.
3. The Court *vide* order dated 23.10.2024 directed for issuance of notice to the respondents.
4. When the matter is called out today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.1-School prays for some time contending that she has recently been engaged in the matter and wants some time to file the reply.
5. The impugned communication dated 05.08.2024, which is mainly based upon order dated 27.05.2024, reads as under:-



*"From: "Air Force Bal Bharati School" <afbbschool@gmail.com>
To: "VineetTaneja" <taneja_vin@yahoo.com>
On Monday, August 5, 2024 at 09:08:08 AM GMT+5:30, Air Force
Bal Bharati School
<afbbschool@gmail.com> wrote:*

Dear Parent,

Kindly refer to your email dated 25 Jul 24 and 29 Jul 24.

1. It is to inform that your mobile number has been removed from parent's portal on request received from Ms. Ritu Johri mother of Ms. Riddhima in compliance with Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated 27.05.2024 in the matter of MAT.APP.(F.C.)144/223.

2. Moreover, it is inform you that also as per the information available in the admission form in respect of Ms. Riddhima only details and signature of mother is available.

3. You are hereby also informed that no further correspondence in this regard will be entertained by this office."

6. The Court has also considered the prayer for interim relief. If the said communication is considered in light of the observations made by this Court in order dated 27.05.2024, it would appear that there are no specific directions for removal of the name of the petitioner.

7. The Court, therefore, in the interregnum, direct for stay of the operation of the impugned communication dated 05.08.2024.

8. Let the reply be filed by the respondents, if any, within a period of two weeks from today.

9. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be also filed by the petitioner within one week thereafter.

10. List this matter on 23.01.2025.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2024

Nc/am