



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 14863/2025**
UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Adv.
versus

VIJAY

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **24.09.2025**
CM APPL. 61151/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 14863/2025 & CM APPL. 61150/2025, CM APPL. 61152/2025

2. This petition has been filed by the petitioners challenging the Order dated 26.09.2024 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') in O.A. No. 91/2016, titled ***Sh. Vijay v. Union of India and Ors.***, whereby the learned tribunal allowed the O.A. filed by the respondent herein with the following directions:

- "i. Orders dated 12.06.2015 (Annexure-A/1) and 02.07.2015 (Annexure-A/2) passed by the respondents are quashed and set aside and the respondents are directed to reinstate the applicant within six weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this Order.*
- ii. The applicant shall be entitled to all consequential benefits.*
- iii. However, the respondents shall be at liberty to initiate disciplinary proceedings*



against the applicant in accordance with the law.”

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the learned Tribunal has failed to appreciate that the order terminating the services of the respondent was not stigmatic in nature. It is only pursuant to the directions of the learned Tribunal that reasons for the termination were supplied to the respondent.

4. Issue notice to the respondent, to be served through all permissible modes, returnable on 14th October, 2025. The matter shall be listed along with W.P.(C) 15949/2023 titled ***Union of India and Ors. vs. Manjeet Singh.***

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2025/ys/ik