



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RFA 739/2024, CM APPL. 62190/2024 & CM APPL. 62191/2024**
HINGLASH & ORS.Appellants

Through: Mr. Pankaj Sinha, Advocate.

versus

HAMID LODHIRespondent
Through: None

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER
% **22.10.2024**
[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 62192/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM APPL. 62193/2024 (for granting time to file remaining court fee)

2. As requested, the appellants are granted four weeks time to deposit the court fees and accordingly the application is disposed of.

CM APPL. 62190/2024 (for condonation of delay of 414 days in filing)

3. The delay of 414 days in filing this appeal has been explained solely on the ground that the appellant no.1 is a disabled widow with no source of income. But the application is completely silent that the remaining appellants are grown up children, about whom there is no reference in the application.

4. Learned counsel for appellants seeks adjournment to address further on this application.



RFA 739/2024 & CM APPL. 62191/2024 (stay)

5. The appellants have challenged decree of possession of the immovable property, which was purchased by the respondent from the now deceased predecessor of the appellants and after purchase, the appellants were permitted to occupy one room in the subject property as a licensee. No evidence was led by the appellants during trial.

6. As requested, relist on 01.04.2025.

7. It is made clear that there is no stay on the operation of impugned judgment and decree.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

OCTOBER 22, 2024/as

Click here to check corrigendum, if any