



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 846/2024 & I.A.No.42962/2024 (under Order
XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

SH TARUN BANSAL

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Gurman Kaur Dua, Adv.

versus

SH CHETAN BANSAL

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Vipin Saini, Adv.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

24.03.2025

%

1. As per office note, vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of the defendant.
2. Written statement and affidavit of admission/denial of documents have been returned under objection.
3. Learned counsel for the defendant orally requests that delay in filing written statement may be condoned.
4. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that she has no objection if delay in filing written statement by the defendant is condoned subject to cost.
5. Heard. Record perused.
6. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the plaintiff, delay in filing written statement by the defendant is condoned subject to cost of Rs.5,000/- to be paid to learned counsel for the plaintiff.
7. Learned counsel for defendant is requested to check it



up with the Registry and have the written statement placed on record before the next date of hearing.

8. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
9. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed and they will make appropriate submissions before the Hon'ble Court.
10. At joint request, list the matter before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed i.e. **27th March, 2025.**

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 24, 2025/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any