



\$~7

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(OS) 846/2024

SH TARUN BANSAL

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms.Nishu Budhiraja, Adv.

versus

SH CHETAN BANSAL

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Vipin K. Saini, Adv.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

11.09.2025

%

**IA No.17815/2025 (under Section 5 of the Limitation
Act filed on behalf of the defendant for condonation of
delay in filing written statement)**

1. It is submitted that the matter is coming up before the Hon'ble Court on 11.11.2025.
2. File is taken up today on listing of the captioned IA.
3. Learned counsel for the defendant submits that delay in filing written statement was condoned subject to cost vide order dated 24.03.2025 and the written statement is already on record. He further submits that in view of the same, the captioned IA has become infructuous and the same may be dismissed accordingly.
4. Heard. Record perused.
5. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the defendant, the captioned IA is dismissed as infructuous.

6. IA stands disposed



MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
SEPTEMBER 11, 2025/nk