



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3565/2008**

ASHU RANI GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Richa Kapoor, Ms. Shivani
Sharma, Mr. Sandesh Kumar and Mr.
Hardik Sakhuja, Advs.

versus

D.D.A.

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

28.10.2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she does not wish to amend the writ petition since the prayers that are sought by the petitioner are comprehensive and subsumes the relief sought by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that it is not clear from the demand letter dated 21.08.2008, issued by the respondent, as to whether the “disposal costs” mentioned therein includes the interest component or not, and if so, the quantum thereof. She submits that for want of this information, the petitioner is unable to seek a specific prayer qua levy of interest, even though, according to her, the prayers as framed in the writ petition, subsume this aspect as well.

None appears for the respondent.

Issue court notice to the respondent, through the concerned Standing Counsel, for the next date of hearing.

List on 13.12.2022.

OCTOBER 28, 2022/cl

SACHIN DATTA, J