



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3565/2008

ASHU RANI GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Richa Kapoor, Advocate
versus

D.D.A.

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Manisha Tyagi, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

27.04.2022

1. Ms. Richa Kapoor, learned counsel for the petitioner states that though prayer (a) in the writ petition stands satisfied inasmuch as the Demand-cum-Allotment Letter with respect to Flat No.14/T-2, Block A, 3rd Floor Dilshad Garden, Delhi has been issued. However, she states that the interest was charged from the date of draw of lots which is 07.04.2005 and they are now charging interest on the bloc capital from 07.04.2005 to 07.08.2008. She states that the petitioner was not responsible for the delay and, therefore, the DDA cannot seek interest on the said amount.

2. There is no prayer in the writ petition restraining the DDA from charging interest for the said period. Ms. Richa Kapoor, learned counsel for the petitioner states that she will amend the writ petition and incorporate the prayer. Le the petition be amended within four weeks from today.

3. List on 28.10.2022.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

APRIL 27, 2022

hsk