



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6648/2005**

DELHI CANTONMENT BOARD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Ankur Mishra, Advocate

versus

UPKAR SINGH AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Ramba Singh, Advocate for LR
of deceased respondent no.1.
Mr.Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Ms.Aakriti Roy, Advocate for
R-2

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **23.03.2023**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 6053/2018 (u/O 22 Rule 4 CPC) & CM APPL. 14073/2022 (condonation of delay)

Pleadings qua LR of deceased respondent no. 1 in the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC are complete.

However, no reply has been filed on behalf of LR of deceased respondent no. 1 in condonation of delay application.

It is already stated on behalf of respondent no.2 that they do not have any objection to the applications being allowed.

Counsel for LR of deceased respondent no.1 states that she has filed reply to the condonation of delay application yesterday only. However, the same is not on record. Let counsel check up with the Registry and get the



same placed on record within two weeks. Let rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks with advance copy to counsel for LR's of deceased respondent no.1.

List on 26.07.2023.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 23, 2023/sk