



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 1960/2015 & CM APPL. 3520/2015**

PRATIBHA GOEL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Hemant Chaudhri with Mr.
Ranjan Vyas, Mr. Arjun Sanjay and
Mr. Vasu Goyal, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC with Mr.
Rony John and Mr. Vansh Kalra,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **18.02.2025**

1. During course of the arguments, learned counsel for DDA submitted that the request for *conversion/mutation* was permitted in a public hearing, subject to certain conditions as per the existing policy. She further submits that the petitioner had also submitted an undertaking clearly specifying therein that she was ready to pay restoration charges, misuse/damage charges or other outstanding dues with respect to the shed in question. Therefore, it is incumbent upon her to pay such charges.
2. When asked, learned counsel for respondent-DDA sought a short adjournment to place on record copy of such undertaking furnished by the petitioner.
3. List on 25.03.2025.
4. Be shown in top 5 matters of advance list.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 18, 2025/sw