



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1638/2024**

DB ARORA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Supriya Juneja and Ms.
Mehak Jaggi, Advs.

versus

SANJAY MALHOTRA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anubha Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Amit Acharya, GP,
Mr. Vishal Sharma and Mr.
Ujjwal Chaudhary, Advs. for
UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

% **16.10.2024**

CM APPL. 60662/2024 (Ex.)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1638/2024

3. The petitioner is seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for the wilful disobedience of the directions of contained in the judgment dated 02.02.2024 in W.P.(C) 10292/2015, passed by this Court.
4. Learned counsel for respondents is present on advance notice.
5. Shorn of unnecessary details, it is brought out that the petitioner was intimated that her Annual Performance Appraisal Report [‘APAR’] for the period 01.04.2009 to 28.02.2010, was only assessed as ‘Good’. Accordingly, a representation was preferred by the petitioner, which was rejected.



6. On the petitioner filing O.A. No. 4250/2013, the learned Presiding Officer, Central Administrative Tribunal ['CAT'] passed an order dated 24.12.2014 thereby declaring that the APAR for the aforesaid period as *non est* and further directing the respondent to consider giving consequential benefits to the petitioner herein, which order was challenged before the Division Bench of this Court.
7. The Division Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 02.02.2024 directed that the representation of the petition be considered afresh, after affording a hearing to the petitioner, and be decided in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order.
8. Learned counsel for respondents requests some time to seek instructions.
9. Let reply-cum-affidavit be filed within four weeks from today.
10. Re-notify on 13.02.2025 in the Supplementary List.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

OCTOBER 16, 2024

Sp/E