



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 3078/2025, CRL.M.A. 28448/2025, CRL.M.A. 28951/2025

HDFC BANK LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjiv Kakra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ronnie S. And Mr. Akash
Madan, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Kshitij Garg, Advocate for Mr.
Amol Sinha, ASC for the State with
SI Prakash Kashyap, P.S.: Rajouri
Garden.

Mr. Madhav Khurana, Senior
Advocate with Ms. Jyoti Taneja,
Advocate, Ms. Asees Kaur, Advocate
and Mr. Deep Dutta, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

29.01.2026

%

The court has heard Mr. Sanjiv Kakra, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner; Mr. Madhav Khurana, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant; and Mr. Kshitij Garg, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Amol Sinha, learned ASC for the State.

2. In the course of a brief hearing, Mr. Kakra submits that their immediate concern is that their bank officials are being harassed by the



Investigating Officer ('I.O.') by being called repeatedly to the police station.

3. Mr. Kakra submits, that in fact counsel representing the bank has also been called.
4. Mr. Garg, on instructions of the I.O. who is present in court, disputes that any harassment is being caused either the bank officials or to counsel. Mr. Garg submits that the bank officials have not furnished to the I.O. certain documents that were requested, despite a second reminder dated 22.01.2026 having been sent by the I.O., which contains the list of documents that the I.O. requires from the bank.
5. On instructions, Mr. Kakra submits that all documents available with the bank have been given.
6. However, to allay any dispute in this regard, the I.O. is directed to furnish to learned counsel appearing for the bank a copy of reminder letter dated 22.01.2026; who (latter) will communicate the same to the concerned bank officials, for compliance.
7. The bank officials are directed to furnish to the I.O. all documents that are available with them against the list contained in letter dated 22.01.2026, against written acknowledgment. If some documents are not available with the bank, they will indicate so in writing to the I.O., which will also be acknowledged by the I.O.
8. Mr. Garg however qualifies his submission, by saying that *if* based on the documents that the bank furnishes, the I.O. requires other documents subsequently, he would be at liberty to ask for those as well. This court would only observe that such requirement on the part of the I.O. cannot be foreclosed.



9. Re-notify on 18th April 2026.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 29, 2026
ds