



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16190/2006**

MCD

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Vasudha Priyansha, Advocate.

versus

TILAK RAJ

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Upasana Pahuja, Advocate for
Respondent No. 2 (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

22.01.2026

%

1. An adjournment is sought on behalf of the petitioner to take instructions whether the petitioner wishes to pursue the present petition any further in light of the fact that the respondent has already approached the petitioner/MCD under the Sumpattikar Niptaan Yojana ('SUNIYO') Scheme.

2. It is stated that the respondent/assessee is required to file an undertaking before the MCD under the SUNIYO Scheme.

2.1. Let the said undertaking be filed within two (2) weeks from today.

3. List on 2nd March, 2026.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 22, 2026

Vivek/-