



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 666/2025**

PRADEEP KUMAR VERMAPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Shiv Kumar Pandey and Mr.
Rajan Parmar, Advs.

versus

NAGESH VERMADefendants

Through: Mr. Bheem Sain Jain, Adv.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER
15.12.2025

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A.No.31349/2025 (by defendant u/S 5 of limitation Act
r/w Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 19 days
in filing reply to I.A.23421/2025)**

1. The matter has been taken up today on filing of the captioned IA.
2. It is submitted that the matter is coming up for hearing before the undersigned on 09.02.2026.
3. Issue notice.
4. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff accepts the notice of the captioned IA and submits that delay in filing the reply has not been sufficiently explain and insist for a cost.
5. *Per contra*, Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that the written statement filed on 29th day which is already on record.
6. Considering the submissions, the delay in filing reply in I.A. 23421/2025 is condoned. Defendant is directed to



take appropriate steps for bringing/re-filing the reply on record within three days.

7. The captioned IA is disposed off accordingly.

CS(OS) 666/2025

8. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on the date already fixed i.e. **9th February, 2026.**

GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
DECEMBER 15, 2025/PU
Click here to check corrigendum, if any