



\$~9

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(OS) 660/2025

ASTER MIMS HOSPITAL

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Binisa Mohanty, Adv.
(Mobile No. 9999176557)

versus

JOHN DOE AND ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Ms. Vishrutyi Sahni & Ms.
Muskaan Gupta, Advs. for D-2
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Akhil Shandilya & Ms.
Anannya Gogoi, Ads. For D-3
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Vaarish Sawlani & Ms.
Saumya Pawar, Advs. for D-4
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv. for D-
5 (appeared through VC)
Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with
Mr. Om Ram & Ms. Nikita Singh,
Advs. for D-6 & 7 (Mobile No.
9555672532 & E-mail ID
nidhiraman.office@gmail.com)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL, (DHJS)

ORDER
20.02.2026

%

I.A.No. 23159/2025 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2
CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiff)

1. Reply filed on behalf of Defendant No. 4 is stated to be under objections as per the office note. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 4 submits that vide order dated



03.02.2026 in I.A. No. 2959/2026, exemption from filing the apostilled affidavit was granted and four weeks' time was given to file the same. He further submits that the affidavit has since been filed and the objection in respect of the reply pertains only to the said affidavit, and therefore, no objection survives.

2. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 4 is directed to coordinate with the Registry and ensure that the reply is duly placed on record after removal of objections.

CS(OS) 660/2025 & I.A.No.23160/2025 (under Order II Rule 2 r/w Section 151 CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiff)

3. Hard copy of the Written Statement along with affidavit of admission/denial filed by Defendant No. 4 is stated to be under objections as per the office note. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 4 submits that the objections relate to the same affidavit as referred to above. Accordingly, Defendant No. 4 is directed to coordinate with the Registry and have the objections removed.
4. On the previous date, Defendant No. 2 was directed to provide basic subscriber information, including status of the upload and login details, to the Plaintiff. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 2 seeks further time and submits that the said details shall be supplied along with the Written Statement.
5. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff submits that the statutory period for filing the Written Statement has already expired.
6. Accordingly, Defendant No. 2 is directed to furnish the basic subscriber information. If the statutory period for



filing the Written Statement has expired, the information shall be provided independently within two weeks from today. If the statutory period has not expired, the same may be supplied along with the Written Statement within the prescribed period.

7. It is made clear that in case of default, Defendant No. 2 shall be liable to pay costs of a minimum of ₹5,000/-.
8. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff further submits that an application under Order I Rule 10 CPC has been filed, which is under objections. Let the objections be removed and the application be placed on record.
9. List for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **21.05.2026**.

SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
FEBRUARY 20, 2026/nk