



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 13765/2006

MCD

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Saroj Bidawat, Adv.

versus

HARISH CHANDER

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Meghna De and Mr. Ishaan Goel,
Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

%

29.01.2026

1. Ld. Counsel for the respondent has submitted that the Petitioner/MCD has not complied with the directions given in the Order dated 21st July, 2025 whereby the Petitioner/MCD was directed to file an affidavit justifying the calculation of the amount paid to the workman.
2. Ld. Counsel appearing for the Petitioner/MCD seeks some time to file the requisite affidavit and submits that the excess amount, as calculated, has already been transferred to the account of the workman.
3. It is noted that the discrepancy between the amount claimed by the workman and the amount paid by the Petitioner/MCD can be cleared upon the filing of the affidavit.
4. Accordingly, the Petitioner/MCD is directed to place on record the affidavit in terms of the order dated 21st July, 2025. The Respondent/workman may also place on record an affidavit indicating the amount received and the amount claimed, if any.
5. List on 30th April, 2026.

SHAIL JAIN, J

JANUARY 29, 2026/pt/dg