



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 1292/2025

RAVI ALIAS RAVIYA

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Gunjan Sinha Jain and Ms.
Sakshi Mand, (DHCLSC) Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with
SI Sandeep, P.S. Aman Vihar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

% **17.02.2026**

CRL.M.(BAIL) 1936/2025

1. This application under Section 430 read with 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the accused no. 2 in Session Case no. 803/2017 on the file of the Court of Principal District and Sessions Judge, North West, Rohini Courts, Delhi, seeking suspension of sentence during the pendency of the appeal. As per the impugned judgment he has been convicted and sentenced for the offences punishable under Section 308, 323 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (the IPC) and accordingly, he has been sentenced to rigorous



imprisonment for two years and fine of ₹5,000/- and in default of payment of fine, to simple imprisonment for three months for the offence punishable under Section 308 read with Section 34 IPC and to rigorous imprisonment for three months and fine of ₹1,000/- in default of payment of fine, to simple imprisonment for one month for the offence punishable under Section 323 read with Section 34 IPC. The sentences have been directed to run concurrently.

2. The learned counsel for the appellant draws the attention of this Court to the order of suspension dated 16.01.2026 that has been granted in favour of the co-accused, namely, accused no. 1, in CRL.A. 1309/2025.

3. The application is opposed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, who submits that the principle of parity cannot be applied in this case as the co-accused did not have any criminal antecedents. On the other hand, the appellant herein has several criminal cases registered against him, and all these offences were



committed while he was on bail in the present matter, and therefore the case does not call for suspension of sentence.

4. The learned counsel for the appellant also submits that in all the other cases, he has been enlarged on bail.

5. Heard both sides.

6. The nominal roll dated 03.01.2026 shows that the appellant/accused no. 2 is involved in other crimes also namely Crime no. 472/2023, Aman Vihar Police Station alleging commission of offences punishable under Sections 392, 411 read with Section 34 IPC; Crime no. 819/2022, Aman Vihar Police Station, under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (the Arms Act) and Crime No. 513/2023, Aman Vihar Police Station, alleging commission of offences punishable under Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act.

7. That being the position, the principle of parity cannot be invoked in this case as the offences alleged against the accused no. 2 involve grave offences. The suspension of sentence cannot be



allowed. However, the hearing of the appeal can be expedited.

8. The application stands dismissed in the aforestated terms.

CRL.A. 1292/2025

9. List for final hearing on 28.04.2026.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2026/mj