



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 698/2023**
ITC LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Shruttima Ehersa,
Ms. Aiswarya Debadarshini,
Advocates

versus

ARPITA AGRO PRODUCTS PVT LTD & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Kartikay Sharma, Advocate

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

% **14.05.2025**

I.A. no. 25821/2023 by D4 to 6 for their deletion.

1. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that arguments in the present IA were submitted before the Hon'ble Court alongside arguments in the interim injunction application. Consequently, ld. Counsel for the plaintiff requests that this present IA be again put up before the Hon'ble Court for addressing further arguments. However, ld. Counsel for the defendants objects to this assertion. Put up again on the next date.

CS(COMM) 698/2023

1. The matter has been listed today for carrying out admission / denial of documents.

2. Defendants have not filed any documents alongwith the

written statement. Cost imposed on the plaintiff as also on the defendants stands paid.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 17/05/2025 at 13:11:05



3. Ld. Counsel for the defendants admits twenty nine documents filed by the plaintiff, which have been identified as Ex. P-1 to Ex. P-29. Further, documents filed on behalf of the plaintiff alongwith the replication are not on record in physical form. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff is directed to file those documents within four weeks.

4. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that Joint document schedule is under objection on account of delay. Ld. Counsel for the defendant has no objection to the delay being condoned on the oral request of ld. Counsel for the plaintiff. Consequently, the delay stands condoned. Registry is directed to place the Joint document schedule on record.

5. Ld. Counsel for the defendant also submits that matter may be referred to Mediation Centre. However, ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that she has to seek instructions in this regard. Parties are at liberty to settle the matter outside the Court in the meanwhile.

6. Put up again for admission / denial of documents on 11.09.2025.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 14, 2025/sk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any