



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA(COMM) 418/2024

MANOJ KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Vikas Sharma, Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI THROUGH
COMMISSIONER

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Adv.[Appearance not given]

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

24.03.2026

1. The matter was called twice.
2. During the first round, learned counsel representing the Respondent prayed for a pass over, whereas during the second round, he has come up with a request for adjourning the case on ground of non-availability of the arguing counsel.
3. Learned counsel representing the Appellant *inter alia* contends that the Court of First Instance has erred by omitting to grant interest for the period prior to the filing of the suit, as well as during the pendency of the suit.
4. In the interest of justice, list on 19.08.2026.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J.

MARCH 24, 2026

s.godara/kb