



2025:DHC:11921

\$~45 to 50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

45

+ CRL.M.C. 1780/2014 & CRL.M.A. 5981/2014 (Stay)

SOUTH MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Vishwendra Verma,
Advocate.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar SPP with
Ms. Mishika Pandita, Advocate for
respondent/CBI.

46

+ CRL.M.C. 4094/2014 & CRL.M.A. 14067/2014 (Stay)

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Vishwendra Verma,
Advocate.

versus

C B I Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar SPP with
Ms. Mishika Pandita, Advocate for
respondent/CBI.

47

+ CRL.M.C. 4096/2014 & CRL.M.A. 14071/2014 (Stay)

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORP Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Vishwendra Verma,
Advocate.

versus

C B I Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar SPP with
Ms. Mishika Pandita, Advocate for
respondent/CBI.



2025:DHC:11921

48

+ CRL.M.C. 4099/2014 & CRL.M.A. 14075/2014 (Stay)

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORP Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Vishwendra Verma,
Advocate.

versus

C B I Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar SPP with
Ms. Mishika Pandita, Advocate for
respondent/CBI.

49

+ CRL.M.C. 4103/2014 & CRL.M.A. 14082/2014 (Stay)

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Petitioner

Through:

versus

C B I Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar SPP with
Ms. Mishika Pandita, Advocate for
respondent/CBI.

50

+ CRL.M.C. 4178/2014 & CRL.M.A. 14350/2014 (Stay)

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORP Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Vishwendra Verma,
Advocate.

versus

C B I Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar SPP with
Ms. Mishika Pandita, Advocate for
respondent/CBI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

15.02.2024

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks leave to



2025:DHC:11921

place on record, report detailing the action taken, if any, with respect to the officials mentioned in the impugned observation passed in the present judgment.

2. It is clarified that the report shall specifically indicate the enquiry/action taken *qua* the said officers with respect to the properties which are subject matter of the present RC. Let the same be done before the next date of hearing.

3. Re-notify on 19.03.2024.

4. Interim order, if any, to continue.

AMIT SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 15, 2024/bsr