



\$~17

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(COMM) 644/2023**  
**LOTTOLAND HOLDINGS PRIVATE LIMITED**  
.....Plaintiff

Through: None

versus

**KULDEEP SHARMA** .....Defendant

Through: None

**CORAM:**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI**

% **ORDER**  
**23.07.2024**

1. There is no appearance on behalf of plaintiff today which was also the case on the last date. Plaintiff however had appeared before the Hon'ble Court on 05.03.2024 on which date he raised an objection in regard to the written statement not being in accordance with the Commercial Courts Act Rules. Plaintiff was however, directed to file replication within the prescribed time. Subsequently, when the matter was listed before this Court on 25.04.2024, Id. Counsel for the defendant highlighted that discrepancies in the written statement have been cleared and copy of the re-filed written statement had been supplied to Id. Counsel for the plaintiff on his email. Since there was no appearance on behalf of the plaintiff, it was recorded that if the rules permit, plaintiff may file its replication in accordance with the directions passed by the Hon'ble Court on 05.03.2024. Since today is the second date on which no appearance has been put in on behalf of the plaintiff and even the e-filing log does not show filing of any replication, I deem it appropriate to put the present



matter before the Hon'ble Court for the date already fixed.

2. Put up before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed  
i.e. 29.07.2024, for further directions.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI (DHJS),  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**JULY 23, 2024/sk**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*