



\$~O-41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 636/2025**

MS. CHARANJIT KAUR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. S. K. Sharma, Mr. Tejas Singh,
Mr. Yugender Kumar, Mr. Saurabh
Dagar and Mr. Suryansh, Advs.

versus

MRS. MANJIT KAUR ANAND & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Prayas Aneja and Mrs. Pragati
Sharma, Advs. for D-1 to 3.
Mr. Jasbrinder Singh Sahni, D-4 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

06.10.2025

I.A. 22536/2025 (filed by the plaintiff for ex parte ad-interim injunction)

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff and learned counsel appearing for defendant Nos.1, 2 and 3. Mr. Jasbrinder Singh Sahni, defendant No.4 appears in person through Video Conferencing. He contends that he be granted access to the documents/pleadings.
2. Let the Registry to do the needful and to grant the access to Mr. Jasbrinder Singh Sahni and let he be allowed to file a reply/document or any other pleadings etc.
3. Mr. S. K. Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff, was of the view that the matter can be sent for amicable settlement as defendant



Nos.1 to 3 are agreeable for amicable settlement.

4. Mr. Jasbrinder Singh Sahni, however, contends that looking at the background of the dispute and various circumstances which he intends to highlight, the matter may not be amicably settled. He propounds a prior Will in his favour.

5. Under these circumstances, the matter is not referred to mediation.

6. Additionally, Mr. Sharma submits that till the application for injunction is pending, the parties be directed to maintain the *status quo* to which Mr. Jasbrinder Singh Sahni submits that he has not filed his reply to the application and he has various objections to raise.

7. Let Mr. Jasbrinder Singh Sahni to file a formal reply to the injunction application and to raise all possible objections in accordance with law.

8. Depending upon the same, the Court shall consider passing an appropriate order on merits on this application.

9. However, in the interest of justice, till the instant application is pending for consideration, the parties are directed to maintain the *status quo* with respect to the suit property.

10. List this application for consideration on 17.11.2025.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

OCTOBER 6, 2025/p/mj