



\$~21 to 25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 203/2025

NEW BALANCE ATHLETICS, INC.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Urfee Roomi, Ms. Janaki Arun,
Mr. Ayush Dixit and Ms. Vanshika Bansal,
Advocates.

versus

ASTORMUELLER AG AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rohan Rohatgi and Ms. Muthu
Praba, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC with Ms.
Aditi Singh, Advocate for R-2.

22

+ C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 204/2025

NEW BALANCE ATHLETICS, INC.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Urfee Roomi, Ms. Janaki Arun,
Mr. Ayush Dixit and Ms. Vanshika Bansal,
Advocates.

versus

ASTORMUELLER AG AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Rohan Rohatgi and Ms. Muthu
Praba, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC with Ms.
Aditi Singh, Advocate for R-2.

23

+ C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 205/2025

NEW BALANCE ATHLETICS, INC.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Urfee Roomi, Ms. Janaki Arun,
Mr. Ayush Dixit and Ms. Vanshika Bansal,
Advocates.

versus

ASTORMUELLER AG AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rohan Rohatgi and Ms. Muthu



Praba, Advocates for R-1.
Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC with Ms.
Aditi Singh, Advocate for R-2.

24

+ C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 206/2025

NEW BALANCE ATHLETICS INC

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Urfee Roomi, Ms. Janaki Arun,
Mr. Ayush Dixit and Ms. Vanshika Bansal,
Advocates.

versus

ASTORMUELLER AG AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rohan Rohatgi and Ms. Muthu
Praba, Advocates for R-1.

Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC with Ms.
Aditi Singh, Advocate for R-2.

25

+ CS(COMM) 962/2025

NEW BALANCE ATHLETICS INC.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Urfee Roomi, Ms. Janaki Arun,
Mr. Ayush Dixit and Ms. Vanshika Bansal,
Advocates.

versus

ASTORMUELLER AG AND ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Rohan Rohatgi and Ms. Muthu
Praba, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

23.02.2026

I.A. 22346/2025 in CS(COMM) 962/2025

1. Learned counsel for the Defendants submits that on 22.09.2025, Court was informed that Defendants were willing to discontinue the use of letter 'n' as a standalone mark on their shoes after exhausting the existing stock of



250 pairs available with the Defendants *albeit* Defendants were not agreeable to discontinue ‘n:’ mark on their footwear. It is further submitted that Defendants are willing to give up use of ‘n’ and ‘u’ on the same pair of shoes but with liberty to sell the existing stock within two months.

2. Mr. Urfee Roomi, learned counsel for the Plaintiff seeks time to revert with instructions on this aspect.

3. At request, list on 27.02.2026.

C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 203/2025, I.A. 22286/2025

C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 204/2025, I.A. 22287/2025

C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 205/2025, I.A. 22349/2025

C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 206/2025, I.A. 22350/2025

4. List on 27.02.2026.

JYOTI SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 23, 2026

S.Sharma