



\$~64

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

**CRL.M.C. 6411/2025, CRL.M.A. 27052/2025 &
CRL.M.A. 27053/2025**

OMKAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Bagga &
Ms. Mansi Asija, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,
APP for the State
SI Suneel Kumar Sahu,
PS- Begumpur

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

10.09.2025

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 03.09.2025 passed by the SDM in Case No. SDM/R/2025/E-270344, directing dispossession of the petitioner.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the SDM relied upon the GPA executed in favour of Respondent No. 2, however, the petitioner is not in possession of the said documents.
3. He submits that the said documents were not provided to him by the *erstwhile* counsel who represented the petitioner before the SDM.
4. He seeks time to produce the said documents before the Court.
5. He submits that even though he is not in custody of the



said documents, he is sure that the documents do not, in any manner, prove that Respondent No. 2 was in possession of the subject property prior to the petitioner.

6. At his request, list on 22.09.2025.

7. The order dated 03.09.2025 is stayed only till the next date of hearing.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025
“SS”