



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 418/2025 & CRL.M.A.**
26998/2025

SATISH KUMARPetitioner
Through: Mr. Shikhar Goel, Mr. I.
Akhtar & Mr. Sachin
Shukla & Mr. Bobby
Tanwar, Advs.

versus

PRIYANKARespondent
Through: Mr. Soumya Shreya & Ms.
Manu Bidhuri, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
15.09.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the interim maintenance has been awarded despite the fact that the learned Trial Court could not find any *prima facie* evidence in regard to the regular income of the petitioner.
2. He submits that one of the properties which was jointly owned by the petitioner along with his brothers, was also relinquished by him in his brother favour in order to satisfy the pending arrears which were paid by his brother.
3. He seeks time to file the documents evidencing the same on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondent wife is residing at the house provided by the petitioner's brother and therefore does not have to spend any amount on residence as well.
5. The parties are directed to remain present in the Court on



the next date of hearing.

6. List on 30.10.2025.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 15, 2025
“SK”