



\$~102

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 13887/2025**
SHREE SAHAJANAND PRIMARY TEACHER TRAINING
COLLEGEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Arora, Advocate.
versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi,
Mr. Puneet Kumar and Mr. Nandeesh
Nanda, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **26.09.2025**

CM APPL. 61982/2025

1. The present application has been filed seeking following relief:

“a) to direct the respondents to upload the correct status on its public notice qua recognition of one basic unit of 50 unit of the petitioner institution which continues to be in function and communicate the same to the affiliating body of the petitioner institution immediately;”

2. Mr. Gaurav Arora, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner was having two units, one basic unit and one additional unit, of 50 seats each.

3. He submits that insofar as unit of additional intake of 50 is concerned, a request was made by the petitioner for closing the said unit which was accepted by the respondent/NCTE vide order dated 16.04.2014 and the three FDRs totaling to Rs. 8 lakhs were refunded to the petitioner.

4. Insofar as basic unit of the petitioner is concerned, no request for closure of the same was made and even there is no return of FDRs



amounting to Rs. 8 lakhs. He, therefore, contends that the withdrawal order dated 16.04.2014 is confined only to one additional unit and does not cover the closure/withdrawal of the basic unit, and the said order also mentions that only 3 FDRs totaling to Rs.8 lakhs have been refunded to the petitioner institution.

5. The above position is, however, controverted by Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent/NCTE. He invites attention of the Court to the letter dated 24.01.2014 received from the petitioner wherein request has been made to close the PTC course and for refund of all 5 FDRs totaling to Rs. 16 lakhs.

6. He clarifies that the security amount for one unit of 50 seats is Rs. 8 lakhs. Since the request for refund of the FDRs totaling to Rs. 16 lakhs was made, this itself makes it evident that the intention of the petitioner was to close both the units.

7. He further invites attention of the Court to minutes of 204th WRC meeting held on 03-04 April, 2014 to contend that the closure was permitted by the respondent/NCTE with regard to both PTC (basic unit) and PTC (additional intake).

8. Let petitioner as well as the respondent file affidavits placing on record their respective factual stand on the above aspect of the matter, along with supporting documents, before the next date.

9. The letter as well as minutes of meeting handed over by Mr. Anuj Kapoor across the Bar, are taken on record.

10. Re-notify on 27.10.2025.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2025/‘JK’