



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **TEST.CAS. 55/2006**
SHRI SATISH DAYAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Mahima Malhotra, Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Mala Goel, Adv. for R-2

CORAM:
SH. VIJAY SHANKAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

ORDER
% **01.12.2020**

Court hearing convened via video conferencing on account of Covid-19

Counsel for the remaining respondents has not joined the proceedings via video conferencing.

I.A.No.10089/2020 under Order 22 Rule 3 CPC filed on behalf of LRs of the petitioner

It is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner no.1 (a), namely, Ms. Nina Dayal @ Neena Dayal has expired on 01/09/2020 and her LRs are already parties in the present case and the present application has been filed within statutory period.

Counsel for the respondent no.2 accepts the notice of the aforesaid application and submitted that she does not wish to file



reply and has no objection, if the aforesaid application of LRs of the petitioner is allowed.

Heard. In view of the submissions made, aforesaid application of the LRs of the petitioner is disposed of. Copy of the death certificate of Ms. Nina Dayal @ Neena Dayal and amended memo of parties already stated to be filed.

TEST.CAS. 55/2006

This matter is connected with TEST.CAS.16/2008.

Re-notify the matter along with TEST.CAS.16/2008 on **22nd March, 2021.**

**VIJAY SHANKAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

DECEMBER 01, 2020/ nk