



\$~24.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ TEST.CAS. 2/2006 & IA No.8134/2019 (u/S 137 & 138 of Indian Evidence Act).
BHARAT BHUSHAN GUPTA Petitioner

Through: Mr. Irfan Ahmed, Adv.

versus

STATE ANDS ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjib Dutta, Adv. for R-2 & LR of R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **13.08.2019**

IA No.10976/2019 (of the petitioner u/S 151 CPC).

1. The petitioner seeks the present matter to be placed in the category of 'Senior Citizens'.
2. The recording of evidence in the case is not over as yet and it has been enquired from the counsel for the applicant/petitioner, whether the applicant/petitioner, without conclusion of recording of evidence, wants the matter to be placed in the category of 'Finals' of 'Senior Citizens' and which would ensure delaying rather than expediting the matter.
3. The counsel for the applicant/petitioner states that the purpose is to expedite the recording of evidence.
4. If such is the purpose, appropriate steps therefor should have been taken rather than filing this misconceived application which has potential of delaying rather than expediting the case.
5. The application is disposed of with liberty to the applicant/petitioner to, on the next scheduled date, make appropriate submissions in the matter.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

AUGUST 13, 2019

'pp'..