



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 848/2024**

SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Prashansa Singh & Mr.
Sachin Gupta, Advs.
(through VC)

versus

TRUE DERMA SA PVT LTD & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Rajal Rai Dua & Ms.
Divyangana Kaloiya, Advs.
for D-1 to 6, proposed D-9
(through VC)
Mr. Ashok Goel, Adv. for
D-9 (through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **03.07.2025**

The photocopies of the admitted documents and the joint schedule of documents are not filed by both the contesting parties as per order dated 24.03.2025. Same be filed within a week.

List the matter on **02.09.2025**.

**IA No. 602/2025 (By plaintiff u/o 1 Rule 10 r/w Section 151
CPC seeking impleadment of Sharada Medcare Traders P.
Ltd., M/S. Indo Rama Engineers and Gloss Pharmaceuticals P.
Ltd. as defendant nos. 8 to 10)**

As per last ordersheet dated 24.03.2025, ld. counsel for



proposed defendant no. 8 states that she has filed the reply but there is no office noting regarding this. Ld. counsel for proposed defendant no. 8 submitted that she has filed the reply and she will contact with the registry for the same. Submissions be taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that she has filed the rejoinder to reply of the proposed defendant no. 8 which is under objection.

No reply has been filed by the proposed defendant no. 10 therefore, his right to file the reply is closed.

IA No. 1803/2025 [u/o 11 Rule 1(5) (as amended by Commercial Courts, Commercial Division & Commercial Appellate Divisions of Delhi High Courts Act) r/w Section 151 CPC]

Reply has been filed by the proposed defendant no. 9. Same be taken on record.

The rejoinder of the plaintiff is under objections. Same be cleared.

Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 1 to 6 & proposed defendant no. 8 submitted that she has filed the reply. But the same is not showing in system, but ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that she has also filed the rejoinder to the reply and the same is also under objection.

In this case, in the office noting, the proposed defendant no. 9 has been shown as the defendant no. 9 through registry is directed to correct the same.

Parties are directed to file the reply/rejoinder before the next date of hearing.



**IA no. 1304/2025 (By plaintiff 1 to 6 Rule 7 r/w Section 151 CPC
for amendment of plaint)**

Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 1 to 6 & proposed defendant no. 8 submits that she has filed the reply but again the same is not showing in the system. She is not able to tell the diary number at this stage. Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 1 to 6 submits that she will contact the registry for the same. Submissions be taken on record.

The rejoinder of the plaintiff to the reply of the defendant no. 1 to 6, proposed defendant no. 8 & proposed defendant no. 9 are under objection. Same be cleared as per law.

The reply of proposed defendant no. 9 has already been filed and the same is taken on record.

**SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JULY 3, 2025/jr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)