



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 2744/2013

RAJINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS. Respondent

Through: Ms. Jyoti, Advocate for Mr. Rajesh

Mahajan, Advocate for R-1

Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate for

Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate for R-3

Mr. Amitabh Kr. Verma, Advocate for

R-4 to 6

CORAM: SH. ATUL KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

17.11.2017

W.P.(C) 2744/2013

Pleadings qua respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 and 6 are already complete.

Counter affidavit also stands filed on behalf of respondent No.5(a). Counsel appearing for respondent Nos.4 to 6 submits that respondent Nos.5(b) to 5(d) would be relying on the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No.5(a) and that no separate counter affidavit is required to be filed by respondent Nos.5(b) to 5(d).

Petitioner to file rejoinder to the counter affidavit of respondent No.5(a) within four weeks.

Re-notify on 16.02.2018.

Page 1 of 2



CM No.6442/2016 (u/O 1 R 10 CPC for impleading the respondent/new party)

Notice issued to the proposed respondent through ordinary process has been returned back unserved with the report “address not traceable”.

On the petitioner taking steps and filing fresh/correct address of the proposed respondent within two weeks, issue fresh notice to the proposed respondent by ordinary process, speed post as well as dasti, returnable on 16.02.2018.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 17, 2017

vk

Page 2 of 2