



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2744/2013 & CM APPL. 6442/2016**

RAJINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv.**

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS. Respondents

Through: **Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, Adv. for R-1/DDA**

Mr. Kushagra Pandey, Adv. for R-2

Mr. Ajjay Aroraa, Adv. with Mr. Kapil Dutta, Adv. for R-3

Mr. A.K.Verma, Adv. for R-4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

09.01.2019

CM APPL. 6442/2016

Learned counsel for the petitioner/applicant, seeks, by way of this application, to implead Mr. Manjeet as party/Respondent No.7.

He submits that the proposed Respondent No.7 Manjeet and Manoj Kumar were both sons of late Sh. Suraj Bhan and that, inadvertently, he had impleaded one of the sons i.e. Manoj Kumar, as respondent in these proceedings omitting to implead Manjeet.

Learned counsel for the respondent/non-applicant, do not dispute the fact that Manjeet is also one of the sons of late Sh. Suraj Bhan, but submits that there are other legal heirs as well, who are in possession of the subject



property.

By that at it may, there could not be opposition to the impleadment of Manjeet, once it is accepted that he is also one of the sons of late Sh. Suraj Bhan.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the application, the prayer for impleadment of Manjeet as party/Respondent No.7 in this writ petition is allowed.

The amended memo of parties filed along with application is taken on record.

W.P.(C) 2744/2013

Mr. A.K.Verma submits that he would represent Manjeet also, and seeks time to file a separate counter affidavit on behalf of the said new party/Respondent No.7.

He is permitted to do so within a period of four weeks with advance copy to the petitioner, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within two weeks thereof.

Renotify on 13th March, 2019.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

JANUARY 09, 2019

dsn