



\$~93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 3025/2024**
SATISH KUMAR GUPTAPetitioner
Through: Mr.Pragyan Pradip Sharma, Mr.Mudit
Makhijani, Mr.Eeshan Pandey and
Mr.Hardik Jain, Advocates

versus

SURINDER SINGH DHINGRA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr.Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC (Crl.) for
State with Mr.Alok Sharma and
Mr.Vasu Agarwal, Advocates
alongwith SI Komal, P.S. Shubhash
Place

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA
ORDER
% **27.09.2024**

CRL.M.As. 29352-53/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3025/2024 & CRL.M.A. 29354/2024

1. Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioner with following prayers:

"a) Direct the Respondent. No. 2 to register an FIR and conduct a proper investigation.

b) Set aside the order dated 22.03.2023 in Case No. 8349 of 2022 titled "Satish Kumar Gupta Vs. Surinder Singh Dhingra" in the Court of the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, North-West District,



Rohini Courts, Delhi as being bad in law

c) Set aside the order dated 06.01.2024 in Cr. Rev. 269 of 2023 titled "Satish Kumar Gupta Vs. Surinder Singh Dhingra in the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge-03. North-West District, Rohini Courts. Delhi as being bad in law

d) Accept this Writ Petition and issue a Writ of Mandamus or such similar directions to the Respondent No. 2 to register an FIR on the basis of the Complaint dated 07.05.2022.

e) Direct the Commissioner of Police to conduct an inquiry as to why no FIR has been registered yet, in spite of the complaints pointing out apparent cognizable offences.”

2. Learned ASC for the State appears on advance notice.
3. Trial Court Record be summoned in digital format.

Re-notify on 21.11.2024.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 27, 2024/v