



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2266/2023 & CRL.M.A. 21186/2023

SHEETAL DHAIYA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Bahri and Mr. Aniket Arora,
Advocates.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC for the State
with Mr. Arjit Sharma and Mr. Nikunj
Bindal, Advocates with SI Durgesh,
P.S.Dwarka North.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

17.03.2025

%

1. Petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed against the impugned Order dated 20.07.2023 *vide* which the accused persons as arrayed in Column 11 as well as Column 12 have been summoned.
2. *Vide* Order dated 11.10.2023 this Court had directed that the Trial Court shall not insist upon the personal presence of the Petitioners whose presence may be marked through counsel. It is evident that there is no stay of continuing with the trial by the learned concerned Trial Court.
3. Adjournment sought on behalf of the Petitioners as the main counsel is indisposed.
4. Matter be listed on 19.09.2025.
5. It is hereby clarified that the Trial Court may proceed with the trial and may not insist upon the presence of the accused persons till the next date of hearing.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 17, 2025



rk