



\$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **C.A.(COMM.IPD-PAT) 6/2023**
CELLECTIS Appellant

Through: Ms. Vindhya S. Mani, Ms. Surbhi Nautiyal, Ms. Naina Gupta, Ms. Harshita Agarwal, Mr. Bhuvan Malhotra, Mr. Ritwik Sharma and Mr. Devesh Aswal, Advocates.

versus

THE ASSISTANT CONTROLLER OF PATENTS AND DESIGNS Respondent

Through: Mr. Nitinjya Chaudhry, Senior Panel Counsel and Mr. Rahul Mourya, Advocate with Dr. Bhanumathi R., Asst. Controller.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER
09.04.2024

%

1. During the course of the hearing, certain aspects of the detailed description of the invention as mentioned in the patent application, has been discussed. This description, at certain places indicates to the Court that the present invention concerns 'method of treating or preventing cancer or infections in the patients.' However, in contrast, the modified claims, as worded, do not give such an indication.
2. In light of the above, on the query of the Court Ms. Vindhya S. Mani, counsel for the Appellant, states that she will have to consult her client as to how these issues can be resolved. She states that, if need be, they would



consider applying to the Court for modification.

3. In case, Ms. Mani is instructed by her client to apply for modification, the said application be served on the Controller at least a week in advance before the next date of hearing indicating the proposed amendments.

4. Renotify on 8th May, 2024 at 03:30 p.m.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

APRIL 9, 2024

as