



\$~46

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 76/2023 & CM APPL. 240/2023 -Stay.

NARESH KUMAR MITTAL & ANR.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Sorabh Dahiya with
Mr.Mohammad Nausheen Samar, Advs.

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Amrendra Singh, Adv for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

06.01.2023

1. The petitioners have approached this Court inter alia assailing the decision dated 12.02.2021 taken by the Wilful Defaulters Identification Committee, confirmed by the Wilful Defaulters Review Committee on 27.07.2021 and conveyed to the petitioner vide communication dated 27.09.2021.
2. The primary plea raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the impugned decision of the Wilful Defaulters Review Committee is wholly cryptic and unreasoned as the same does not deal with any of the detailed submissions made by the petitioner in his representation made to the said Committee.
3. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the respondent no.1 accepts notice.
4. Upon the petitioners taking steps, issue notice to the respondent no.2 through all permissible modes.
5. Counter affidavit and reply to the application, if any, be filed within



six weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.

6. List on 12.07.2023.
7. Till the next date, the respondents will stand restrained from taking any further coercive steps against the petitioners in pursuance to the impugned communication. This would, however, not preclude the respondents from making any inquiry or investigation against the petitioners as per law.

JANUARY 6, 2023

sr

REKHA PALLI, J