



\$~25

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 614/2025

ROMI GARG

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Shivalika Rudrabatla, Adv.

Versus

ANUP GARG & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. A.R. Aditya and Ms. Kajal
Keshwanii, Advs. for D-8
(through VC)
Ms. Naina Kejriwal, Adv. for D-9.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

27.04.2026

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A.No.11704/2026 (by D-9 u/S 5 of limitation Act r/w
Section 151 CPC for condonation of delay of 158 days in
filing written statement)**

1. The matter has been taken up today on filing of the captioned IA.
2. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that notice of the captioned IA cannot be issued as the delay beyond 120days in filing the written statement cannot be condoned.
3. Learned counsel for the defendant no. 9 has relied upon the judgement in the case of ***Hemant Gupta Vs. Ramasubramanian, 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 465.***
4. Heard.
5. Admittedly, there is delay of more than 120 days in filing written statement on behalf of defendant no. 9. Rule 4 of Chapter VII of Delhi High Court (original Side) Rules, 2018 provides that the period for filing the written



statement could be extended by a further period not exceeding 90 days if it could not be filed within initial 30 days, but not thereafter. Therefore, the delay beyond 120 days in filing written statement cannot be condoned. Thus, the application is dismissed.

CS(OS) 614/2025

6. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on the date already fixed i.e. **6th May, 2026**.

**GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 27, 2026/PU

Click here to check corrigendum, if any