



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2962/2010**

DELHI JAL BOARD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Jay Batra, Advocate

versus

MEHMOOD AHMED & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Nilofar, Advocate for LR's of
deceased respondent no.1
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Labour Inspector
for R-2

CORAM: SH.KRISHAN KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **24.03.2023**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 34309/2022 (u/O 22 Rule 4 CPC qua respondent no.1)

The proposed LR's of deceased respondent no.1 have already stated through their counsel that they have no objection to the application being allowed.

Mr.Pawan Kumar, Labour Inspector is present on behalf of respondent no.2 and states that he has been handed over copy of the notice of this application yesterday only and that he is not competent to make any statement with regards to this application.

Today, I am left with no option except to adjourn this application only because of the non-appearance of the authorized person competent to make statement on behalf of respondent no.2 as the proposed LR's of deceased



respondent no.1 have no objection to the application being allowed.

Mr.Pawan Kumar, Labour Inspector on behalf of respondent no. 2 is directed to ensure that on the next date of hearing, a competent person puts in appearance and represent their case. It is also made clear that on the next date, the matter will not be adjourned and the application shall be taken up for disposal even if respondent no.2 is not represented through authorised person competent to make statement in this case.

List on 27.07.2023.

MARCH 24, 2023/sk

REGISTRAR