



\$~4

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(COMM) 676/2023
JAINEMO PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Wadhwa,
Mr. Shorya Goel, Mr. Digvijay
Singh, Advocates

versus

RAHUL SHAH AND OTHERS

.....Defendant

Through: Mohd. Bilal (VC), Mr. Rahul
Kumar, Ms. Akanksha Singh,
Advocates for D-13

Mr. Mohit Singh, Mr. Vaarish K.
Sawhani, Ms. Aratrika
Brahmachari, Advocates for D19

Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi
Dutta, Ms. Nikita Rathi,
Mr. Nikhil Gupta, Mr. Yogesh
Singh, Advocates for D-28.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

23.04.2025

%

1. Defendant nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 24, 26, 27 and 29 have been served through email / whatsapp on 23.12.2024. Today is the 120th day from the date of service of summons. However, there is no appearance on their behalf.
2. Defendant nos. 17, 18, 20 to 23, 31, 41 and 43 have been deleted. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that there are no effective service details of defendant nos. 3, 7, 9, 12, 25, 30, 38 and 39. Further, as per the affidavit of service, the other defendants have been served as under.



3. Defendant no. 28 GoDaddy was served on acceptance of notice in the court on 28.10.2024. Since then although they have filed their compliance affidavit which is on record, no written statement has been filed. Since the maximum permissible period to do so has expired, right to file a written statement stands closed for defendant no. 28.

4. Defendant nos. 32, 34, 36 and 37 have been served through *whatsapp* on 19.12.2024 on the respective numbers which were provided by META defendant no. 31 (since deleted). No written statements have been filed by these defendants. Since the maximum permissible period to do so has expired, right to file a written statement stands closed for defendant nos. 32, 34, 36 and 37.

5. Defendant nos. 33 and 35 have been served through email on 19.12.2024 as per the details provided by META defendant no. 31 (since deleted). No written statements have been filed on their behalf. Since the maximum permissible period to do so has expired, right to file a written statement stands closed for defendant nos. 33 and 35.

6. Defendant no. 40 has been served through email on 19.12.2024. No written statement has been filed on its behalf. Since the maximum permissible period to do so has expired, right to file a written statement stands closed for defendant no. 40.

7. Defendant no. 42 has been served through email on 23.10.2024. No written statement has been filed on its behalf. Since the maximum permissible period to do so has expired, right to file a written statement stands closed for defendant no. 42.

8. Defendant no. 44 has been served through *whatsapp* on 23.10.2024. No written statement has been filed on its behalf. Since the maximum permissible period to do so has expired, right



to file a written statement stands closed for defendant no. 44.

9. Defendant no. 45 was served through speed post on 06.01.2025. However, maximum permissible period to file written statement is yet not over.

10. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has filed an amended memo in view of the deletion of certain defendants as highlighted above. However, since the number of defendants is quite large, in order to avoid any confusion as defendants no. 16 and 19 have filed their written statements i.e. as per the old memo, ld. counsel for the plaintiff is directed to file a fresh amended memo retaining the numbering of defendants as per the old memo and only highlighting which of the defendants have been deleted.

11. Put up for completion of pleadings on 08.07.2025.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 23, 2025/sk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)