



\$~22.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 676/2023 and I.A. 18922/2023, I.A. 35165/2024,
I.A. 43481/2024, I.A. 1585/2025 & I.A. 5164/2025

JAINEMO PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Wadhwa, Ms. Muskan Gupta, Mr. Abhishek Wadhwa, Mr. Rohit Taneja, Ms. Yukti, Mr. Digvijay Singh and Ms. Srishti Raichandani, Advocates

versus

RAHUL SHAH AND OTHERS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Mohd. Bilal, Rahul Kumar and Akanksha Singh, Advocates for D-13.
Ms. Anushka Sharda, Advocate for D-16
Ms. Swati Agarwal, Mr. Shashank Mishra, Mr. Mohit Singh, Mr. Vaarish K. Saawalani and Mr. Aratrika Brahmachari, Advocates for D-19.
Mr. Aditya Gupta and Mr. Sauhard Alung, Advocates for D-20.
Ms. Aditi Umapathy and Ms. Saakshi Khandelwal, Advocates for D-22
Mr. Shivam Narang, Advocate for D-23
Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi Dutta, Mr. Arjun Mookerjee, Mr. Nikhil Gupta, Mr. Rishabh Agarwal, Mr. Yogesh Singh, Ms. Nikita Rathi, Advocates for D-28.
Mr. Varun Pathak, Ms. Ameer Rana, Mr. Dhruv Bhatnagar, Ms. Charu Sharma for D-31.



Mr. Inzemamul Haque, Advocate for D-41.

Mr. Shaurya Pushpam and Ms. Pranjal Singh, Advocates for D-43.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

ORDER
27.02.2025

%

CS(COMM) 676/2023

1. Ms. Aastha Arora appears on behalf of the plaintiff and submits that since the new counsel has been engaged by the plaintiff, she may be discharged from appearing in the case.
2. It is ordered accordingly.
3. Attention of the Court has been drawn to paragraphs 4 and 5 of the order passed by this Court on 16th October, 2023, the same are set out below:

“4. This is an application filed by the Plaintiff seeking clarification of the order dated 27th September, 2023. After hearing ld. Counsel, it is clarified that if any specific URL link is provided to Google LLC and Mega for removing or taking down the links containing the infringing material, the same shall be taken down within 72 hours of the links being provided.

5. The links along with screenshots of the infringing websites shall be placed on record by way of an affidavit and shall thereafter be communicated to Google LLC and Mega with a copy of the affidavit. It is clarified that GoDaddy LLC is Defendant No.28 in this suit and directions to GoDaddy LLC shall be duly complied with.”

4. Defendants no.20 and 22 shall remain bound by the aforesaid



directions.

5. In view of the above, the defendants no.17, 18, 20, 21 and 22 are deleted from the array of the parties.

6. In terms of the order passed by this Court on 11th December, 2024, defendant no.43 has complied with the directions passed by this Court.

7. Counsel for the plaintiff confirms the same.

8. Hence, defendant no.43 is deleted from the array of parties.

9. Counsel for the plaintiff does not object to the defendant no.41 being deleted from the array of parties.

10. Accordingly, defendant no.41 is deleted from the array of parties.

11. *Qua* defendant no.31/Meta Platforms, Inc., the following directions were passed in the order dated 28th October, 2024:

“36. In the event the plaintiff discovers any additional infringing content on the Facebook or Instagram services, it may notify defendant no.31 of the same by sharing the relevant URLs. If this content is identical to the infringing content already directed to be actioned by the Court in the interim order, the defendant no.31 shall action the URLs notified by the plaintiff. However, the defendant no.31 would have the liberty to oppose any requests for actioning of URLs that do not lead to identical content. In such a case, the plaintiff may approach the Court for seeking appropriate directions for actioning of such additional infringing content.”

12. Defendant no.31 shall continue to remain bound by the aforesaid directions.

13. Mr. Varun Pathak, counsel for the defendant no.31, submits that the defendant no.31 has provided the basic subscription information of the defendants no.32 to 37. He submits that he does not have the requisite information of the defendant no.38 as the defendant no.31 does not have the



email ID or the telephone number of the defendant no.38.

14. In view of the above, the defendant no.31 is deleted from the array of parties.

15. *Qua* defendant no.23, following directions were passed on 27th September, 2023:

“49. In addition, Defendant No. 23 - Name Cheap Inc. shall give the details of the domain name registrant of Defendant Nos. 1, 13 and 14 i.e. www.hacktack.com, www.classcentral.com, www.freecourseuniverse.com. The said domain names shall also be locked and suspended.”

16. Counsel for the defendant no.23 submits that he has complied with the aforesaid directions and shall continue to abide by any subsequent direction that may be passed by this Court *qua* the said defendant.

17. In view of the above, the defendant no.23 is deleted from the array of parties.

18. Let the amended memo of parties be filed within one (1) week.

19. List before Joint Registrar on 23rd April, 2025.

20. List before Court on 10th July, 2025.

I.A. 35165/2024 (on behalf of defendant No.22, seeking deletion from the present suit)

21. In view of the deletion of the defendant no.22, the present application stands disposed of.

I.A. 1585/2025 (filed by the plaintiff seeking condonation of delay in filing reply to I.A. 35165/2024)

22. In view of the order passed above, this application has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

I.A. 5164/2025 (u/O XXXIX Rule 1 & 2)



23. In view of the directions passed above, counsel for the plaintiff does not press this application.

24. Application is dismissed as not pressed.

AMIT BANSAL, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2025/ds