



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 676/2023**

JAINEMO PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mayank Wadhwa, Ms. Muskan Gupta and Mr. Shorya Goel, Advocates.

versus

RAHUL SHAH AND OTHERS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Tejas Karia, Mr. Shashank Mishra, Ms. Swati Agarwal, Mr. Mohit Singh, Mr. Vaarish K. Sawlaani and Ms. Aratrika Brahmachari, Advocates for defendant No. 19.

Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Ms. Nikita Rathi and Mr. Rishabh Agarwal, Advocates for defendant No. 28.

Mr. Varun Pathak, Mr. Amee Rana and Mr. Dhruv Bhatnagar, Advocates for defendant No. 31.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

12.11.2024

%

I.A. 44766/2024 (O-XXXIX Rule 2A of CPC)

1. This application has been filed under the provisions of Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (hereinafter, 'CPC') by the plaintiff alleging that the defendants no.19 and 31 did not comply with the order passed by this Court on 28th October, 2024 in a timely manner.

CS(COMM) 676/2023

Page 1 of 2



2. Mr. Mayank Wadhwa, counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiff submits that the only direction he seeks is that some time limits be put in place by the Court for compliance of the directions passed by this Court *qua* the said defendants.

3. I have interacted with counsel for the parties and the parties are *ad idem* that 72 hours' time limit be put in place for compliance of the directions.

4. Accordingly, the present application is disposed of and the order passed on 28th October, 2024 is modified in the following terms:-

- (i) That defendant no.19/ WhatsApp shall endeavour to comply with the directions contained in paragraph 33 of the order dated 28th October, 2024 within 72 hours.
- (ii) Likewise, the defendant no. 31 shall also endeavour to comply with the directions contained in paragraph 36 of the said order within 72 hours.

AMIT BANSAL, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2024

kd