



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **LA.APP. 312/2024**
JAI BIR AND ORSAppellants
Through: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dalal, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with
Mr. Sunil Kr. Jha, Mr. MS
Akhtar & Mr. Divakar Kapil,
Advs. for R1
Mr. Roshan Lal Goel & Mr.
Bhuvan Goel, Advs. for
R2/DDA

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER
02.09.2025

%

1. Reply has been filed on behalf of respondent No.2/DDA, however, reply filed on behalf of respondent No.1 vide Diary No. 4893858/2025 has been returned under objections. Let respondent No. 1 get the same placed on record after removing the said objections.
2. Furthermore, steps have not been taken for service upon respondent No. 3.
3. At this stage, it is informed by the learned counsel for the appellants that respondent No. 3 has expired and therefore, she seeks time to do the needful in this regard. Let the same be done within a period of four weeks from today.
4. A perusal of the memo of parties reveal that respondent No. 3 is



also shown as appellant No. 6 in the memo of parties.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants seeks time to file an amended memo of parties. Let the same be filed on behalf of the appellant within a period of four weeks from today.

6. Re-notify on 10th October, 2025 before Joint Registrar (Judicial).

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

SEPTEMBER 2, 2025/pr/sm