



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 129/2017**

M/S IFCI FACTORS LIMITED

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. Anupam Srivastava, Ms. Shreya
Mehta and Mr. Arjun Saxena,
Advocates

versus

JAGANNATH SARANGAPANI & ORS Defendants

Through Mr. Vikram Bajaj, Advocate for D-1
Mr. Manoj Jain, Advocate for D-2
and D-3

CORAM:

SH. RAJESH KUMAR SINGH (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **25.07.2017**

**IA No. 7420/2017 (under Order VI Rule 17 CPC r/w Order I
Rule 10 CPC)**

Defendant No.1 is served through learned counsel. Defendant No. 3 and 4 reported to have shifted to some other address. Notice sent to defendant No.2 through learned counsel not served. It is reported that the counsel is not operating from the given address. Mr. Manoj Jain, learned counsel has appeared for defendant No. 2 and 3 and he submits that he will file his Vakalatnama for defendant No. 2 and 3. Defendant No. 3 is directed to file fresh address form containing the present address. Nobody is appearing for defendant No.4. Right of defendant No.4 to file written statement was closed vide order dated 29.08.2014. As per report, the proposed defendant



not served. It is reported to have shifted to some other address. Plaintiff may take fresh steps for service of the proposed defendant for the next date. The existing defendants may file reply, if any, within six weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for plaintiff. At this stage, learned counsel for defendants submit that copy of the IA may be supplied to them. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he will supply the copy to learned counsel for defendants during the course of the day.

List on 11th September, 2017 for report on service of proposed defendant and completion of pleadings.

**RAJESH KUMAR SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR**

JULY 25, 2017
ms