



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1415/2022

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel through V.C.

versus

MR. AMAR SINGH BHALLA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sharanya, Advocate for Ms.
Ruchira Goel, Standing Counsel for
the State of U.P.

Mr. Tushar Sannu and Ms. Pulak
Gupta Joshi, Advocates for GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

03.07.2026

1. The matter is taken up on an office noting, as the amount of the bailable warrants was inadvertently not mentioned in paragraph 3 of the order dated 29.05.2026.
2. In view of the above, the order dated 29.05.2026 stands corrected, and paragraph 3 thereof shall now be read as under:

"3. In view of the above, let fresh bailable warrants in the sum of Rs.10,000/- be issued against the surety, Hariom, through the concerned Superintendent of Police, returnable on 14.08.2026."
3. Learned counsel for the State of U.P. appears through V.C. and seeks a copy of the petition. The Registry is directed to furnish a soft copy of the petition to the learned counsel for the State of U.P.
4. List before the Roster Bench on the date already fixed, i.e. 14.08.2026.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 3, 2026/rd