



\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 797/2024

**RUCHIKA AGARWAL PROPRIETOR M S SANGEETA
FURNITURE CONCEPTS THROUGH ITS POA MR
GIRISH AGARWALPlaintiff**

**Through: Mr. Anirudh Gupta, Adv.
(through VC)**

Versus

MS EVENTUALLY PRO LLP AND ORS.Defendants

**Through: Right of D-1 & 3 to 5 to file
written statement already closed.**

D-2 is yet to be served.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

06.07.2026

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

CS(COMM) 797/2024

1. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that an application for substituted service for defendant no. 2 is lying under objections because registry is insisting for advance service of this application to defendant no.2 which is not possible as the application itself is for the substitute service.
2. Registry is directed to list the application filed vide diary no. 9088938/2025 if it is complete in all other aspects.
3. Right of defendant nos. 1 & 3 to 5 to file written statement has already been closed.
4. Re-notify the present matter for further proceedings on **7th September, 2026.**

**GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JULY 6, 2026/PU

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)