



\$~66 to 72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ ITA 1427/2006
+ ITA 1428/2006, CM Nos.20315/2015 & 6324/2024
+ ITA 644/2019
+ ITA 800/2019
+ ITA 802/2019
+ ITA 804/2019
+ ITA 1262/2011

Counsel for the appellant:

Mr. Puneet Rai, Mr. Ashvini Kumar, Mr. Rishabh Nangia, Mr. Nikhil Jain & Mr. Gibran, Advs.

Mr. Sunil Agarwal, Mr. Shivansh B. Pandya, Mr. Viplav Acharya, Ms. Priya Sarkar & Mr. Utkarsh Tiwari, Advs.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Ms. Monica Benjamin, Ms. Easha Kadian & Ms. Nancy Jain, Advs.

Counsel for the respondent:

Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Simran Mehta & Ms. Mishika Bajpai, Advs.

Mr. Deepak Chopra, Mr. Rohan Khare, Dr. Shashwat Bajpai & Mr. Priyam Bhatnagar, Advs.

Ms. Simran Mehta, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

% **22.04.2025**

1. A request for an adjournment is made on behalf of learned counsel appearing for the Revenue. It is stated that they are examining whether the capital gains have been taxed in the subsequent years as informed by the learned counsel for the Assessee.

2. It is contended on behalf of the Revenue that if the Revenue has



accepted the costs of acquisition for determining the capital gains in subsequent years, the present appeals would not survive.

3. At their request, list on 20.05.2025.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TEJAS KARIA, J

APRIL 22, 2025

‘gsr’

Click here to check corrigendum, if any