



\$~1

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

ARB.P. 166/2024

M/S SANDHU MOTOR FINANCE PVT LTD.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sonali Arora, Adv.
(through VC)

versus

JATIN ARORA & ANR.Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

01.07.2025

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A. No. 9785/2025 (bv petitioner u/O V Rule 20 of CPC
for service of respondent no. 1 by substituted service)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent no. 2 is deliberately avoiding the service of notice. Therefore, he may be served with substitute service.
2. As per the report dated 07.03.2024 of notice issued to respondent no. 1, it was found by the process server that no person in the name of respondent no. 1 was residing there at the given address. No documents for compliance of KYC norms have been filed by the petitioner for verification of address of respondent no. 1.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner requests for some time to verify the address of respondent no. 1 and to take appropriate steps for service of notice to respondent no. 1.
4. Thus, the captioned IA is kept pending for consideration on the next date of hearing.

ARB. 166/2024

5. As per office noting, respondent no. 2 has been served by



ordinary notice on 19.07.2024. Till date, no appearance has been put on behalf of respondent no. 2.

6. Put up for **13.10.2025**.

SH. GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 1, 2025/NG

Click here to check corrigendum, if any